UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,301	04/06/2006	Gregory J. Gutwein	CRA 1994-004B	7097
8698 Standley I	7590 11/21/2007 AW GROUP LLP	EXAMINER		
495 METRO PLACE SOUTH			. ROSENBAUM, MARK	
	SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
		<del></del>		<del></del> -
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,301	GUTWEIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAÎLING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt ate, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2007.	
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.	
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) <u>4-7, 10, 14-26 and 54</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,7,10,14-26,54</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	-, ,	· ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	-	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t reactived
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	si oi ine cerinea copies noi	i received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •

10/595,301 Art Unit: 3725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. How can this claim be considered to be a sewage grinder pump as noted in the preamble when no grinder is being claimed?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 4,5,7,14,54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Keener. Figure 9 shows a sewage grinder pump including a grinder and 2 impellers.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:

10/595,301 Art Unit: 3725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10,15-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keener. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious design choices only once the basic apparatus was known. For example the use of check and anti-siphon valves is well known in the fluid control art and of no patentable merit.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/07 concerning the above rejected claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference does not show the placement of the rotating members on the same end of the motor shaft. This is true but the only time this limitation is found is in claim 6 i.e. the argument is not commensurate in cope with the claimed subject matter of the remaining claims.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

10/595,301

Art Unit: 3725

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Márk Rosenbaum Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3725